

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the following notifications :

(a) No. HD 47 TMT 64, dated 21st/22nd December 1964 (Reduction of Tax payable) ;

(b) No. HD 113 TMT 64, dated 21st December 1964 (Reduction of Tax Payable) ;

as required under sub-section (2) of Section 16 of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, before I take up the next item, I would like to gather the sense of the House with regard to one or two points. Before I do that, I wish to say that yesterday I made a remark relating to Sri G. V. Gowda as to whether he was as deaf as the Leader of the Opposition and one or two members objected to that. I dealt with that point yesterday itself. But, still, on reconsideration I feel, I should not have made that remark. I regret and say that sincerely and wish that there should be no trace of dissatisfaction left on that account. At the same time, it leads me to one conclusion which I want to mention. All along I was feeling that my good friend Sri Sivappa was under a small disability to a certain extent, not that he was deaf. I am glad he has made it very clear and I am also glad that other members have made it clear. So, whenever I call upon Sri Sivappa either by voice or by ringing the bell, I should not think of the disability.

Coming to the other point, today is the last day according to the allotment of time by the Business Advisory Committee for the consideration of the Motion of No-confidence. From the very beginning I was feeling that unless we co-ordinated and made some sort of working arrangements, it would be a difficult task for me to carry on the business of the House. I said whether I should put any time limit with regard to speeches. I requested the Whips of the Parties to give me a break up if it was possible. I was told that it would be given but it was given in small instalments without indicating the time limit and we have gone on. I give the time taken by different members. Sri Sivappa 120 minutes ; Sri Marulappa 103 minutes ; Sri M. C. Basappa 40 minutes, Sri Gopala Salanna 50 minutes ; Sri R. S. Hedge 18 minutes ; Sri V. S. Patil 35 minutes ; Sri R. M. Patil, Minister for Home—first day 42 minutes and next day 75 minutes covered also by the interruption and the time spent which the House is fully aware of ; Sri Channabyre Gowda 24 minutes ; Sri T. Madiha Gowda 16 minutes ; Sri S. M. Krishna, yesterday 49 minutes. To-day I have at my disposal 3½ hours. I would like to know what time would be required for the reply. So far as

(MR. SPEAKER)

further participation is concerned, I may submit the simple fact that I have nothing here to guide me except one assurance which I gave to Sri Samaji that I would give an opportunity to him to state any personal matter that he wants to say in regard to what is called the double murder. I am bound to do and I will do.

Sri V. M. DEO (Gubbi).—Sir, may I suggest that you may extend the Debate by one more day ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question first of all is how we should dispose of the matter now. I am trying to place before the House all this so that the House may apply its mind and I may be assisted if possible, to come to a conclusion. If it is possible, I would like to see some agreement was arrived at. What I do want to know is whether it is possible to arrive at any solution which will be satisfactory.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ (ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಪುರ).—ನಾನೂ ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಇದ್ದುದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಎದುರು ಪಕ್ಷ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವೈಯ್ಯ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವ ಒಂದು ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಸುಪ್ತದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದೆ, ಅದು ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಇದ್ದಂತಹ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಧಭಾಗ ಎದುರು ಪಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಧ ಭಾಗ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಇತ್ತು. ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಎದುರು ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ 16 ಗಂಟೆ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಗಂಟೆಕಾಲ ಇಂಟರ್‌ವೆಷನ್ ತೆಗೆದರೆ 12 ಗಂಟೆ ಕಾಲ ಉಳಿಯಿತು ; ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ 6 ಗಂಟೆ ಎದುರು ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ 6 ಗಂಟೆ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂತ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆ, ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಎದುರು ಪಕ್ಷದವರು ನಿನ್ನೆ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರು ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದನ್ನೂ ಸೇರಿಸಿದರೆ 5 ಗಂಟೆ 46 ನಿಮಿಷ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾಲ ಕೇವಲ 14 ನಿಮಿಷಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ. ಅವನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಉಳಿದವನ್ನು ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is also one aspect which has been there in my mind. Whenever charges are made against anybody, it requires, according to the principles of natural justice, that they must be given the fullest opportunity. If it is a question of formulating charges, then, I can call upon anybody to be brief. But the basic principle is, if anybody chooses to level charges or make attacks, then they should be given all the time they require. There should be nothing that they should be denied an opportunity to explain the controversial facts. At the same time, it is my duty to see, if it is possible, to harmonise and make all the member feel that they have been given the fullest opportunity ; I am sure on behalf of the opposition, a list would be given. If time permits, I will give chance to more members. I do not know what time would be required by Sri S. M. Krishna. Incidentally, I would suggest that we may drop the tea interval today and that time may be utilised for the Debate.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಇದು ಬಹಳ ಗಂಭೀರವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಎರೋಡ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಬೇಕು. ಇನ್ನೂ ಅನೇಕರು ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Was not the Hon'ble Member present all through ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲ ಗೌಡ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ 3 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಕಾಲ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದಂತಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ತಾವು, ಸಭಾನಾಯಕರು ಮತ್ತು ಎರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರು ಟೀಗೆ ಎದ್ದಾಗ ಕುಳಿತು ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Nothing has been mentioned to me till now. I have repeatedly been asking them to let me know who all want to participate. What was he doing all these three days ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಕೋಲಾರ).—ಮೊನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಯಾರ್ಯಾರು ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದಾಗ ಬಹಳ ಜನ ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತರು. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದು ಸೂಕ್ತ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When one member wanted more time, no member objected to it.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelgola).—As the Chief Minister wants three hours for the reply, it may be given. But to facilitate some of my Hon'ble Friends who want to participate, we may extend the time for this business by one day.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is this the way to transact business ? We should have met earlier and come to some understanding. What prevented the Leader of the Opposition from meeting, the Leader of the House and coming to some understanding on this matter ? If there is no agreement I do as best as I can. I will allow the Debate till 2-45 p.m. and then call upon the Ministers to reply.

Sri V. M. DEO.—As all aspects of the matter should be covered.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—What was the Hon'ble Member doing all along ? This is not the normal Government business and the member who has moved the motion has got the right of reply and I will give him half an hour which he wants.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Let the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition consider this request at tea break.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry the realisation has come at this late hour.

Motion of No-Confidence in the Council of Ministers

Debate Continued.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ (ಮದ್ದೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕತೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಅಪಾದನೆಗಳು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಅವರು ಹೇಗೆ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕೆನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದೆ. ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಹೊರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಪ್ರಜಾಪ್ರಭುತ್ವ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆಯೋ ಅಲ್ಲೆಯೂ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರದ ಅಪಾದನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಭಾವವೇನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅಮೇರಿಕಾ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಾಗಲೇ, ಇಂಗ್ಲೆಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಾಗಲೇ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರದ ಅಪಾದನೆಗಳು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಂಥ ನಿದರ್ಶನಗಳುಂಟು. ಮತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಂಥ ನಿದರ್ಶನಗಳೂ ಉಂಟು. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಅಮೇರಿಕಾ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೆಜಾರಿಟಿ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರದ